Time Dispersion of Showers

R. Wischnewski (DESY), U. Schwanke (HU-Berlin)

ELEC / FPI WP, LaLaguna, Tenerife 5.10.2010

Motivation:

- The time structure of showers in camera plane, with focus on high energy . (Topic raised by MC-WP)
- Does time structure (spread) of gamma / proton showers change from the canonical o(10ns) toward high energies (>>1TeV) ?
- What about off-axis observation & large impact distances ?
- Do we
 - (1) read out trigger the shower information efficiently ?
 - (2) do we trigger telescopes with medium / large images efficiently at highE ?
 - (3) at array level: if telescopes don't trigger or are only partially read,

at what level is array data quality distorted ?

Update; For details, see CTA-meeting, 5/2010

Overview

- Application of *trigsim* (see preceding talk) as a tool for pe-file analysis and emulation of trigger concepts
- Read MC-files of raw pe's (from simtelarray) (local Desy-DB)
 - all pe's are available (minimal cut >15pe in camera)
 - no CamElec simulation (optional)
 - no NSB included
 - compare with default NN-trigger: SimtelarrayTrig

• Aim:

A. Study the "event duration" in raw be's (neglect ELEC) :

(1) T_full = full event spread: (t_last_pe - t_first_pe) for all pe's from

(optional)

- all pixels, or
- restricted to pixels with amp-cut (≥3pe, 5pe, 10pe, ...)
- (2a) **T50** = arrival time for 50% of all pe's
- (2b) **T90** = arrival time for 90% of all pe's

B. Image Losses due to Readout:

14...25ns R/O window \rightarrow which pe-fraction is readout ?

Time Dispersion / Gamma 100TeV



SST / Tel160

Gamma 100TeV



Proton 300TeV



Event duration: T_full



Time-Duration T_full for all pe's contained in pixels with ≥ 1 , 3, 5, 10 pe's. T_full rises with energy:

<time> to read all pe's

for all 5pe pix's is....60...110ns for 10...100 TeV,for all 10pe pixels is still30...90ns !(details: Zeuthen-Meeting)

Avg. EventDuration vs. Energy: Gammas (diffuse)



Consider pe's from pixels with ≥ 1 pe, ≥ 3 pe, ≥ 5 pe, ≥ 10 pe.

Avg. EventDuration vs. Energy: Gammas (pointing)



pix_amplitude

Energy

Consider pe's from pixels with ≥ 1 pe, ≥ 3 pe, ≥ 5 pe, ≥ 10 pe.

Avg. EventDuration vs. Energy: Protons



Consider pe's from pixels with ≥ 1 pe, ≥ 3 pe, ≥ 5 pe, ≥ 10 pe.

ReadoutTime T50 / T90

- Distribution of time to readout 50% and 90% of all pe's for: all events, ImSize>100pe, >200pe and "triggered" (simtel)
- We find: <u>Gammas 10TeV (diffuse) → median(T50) is 40ns !</u>



Time dispersion: ReadoutWindow

 ReadoutWindow to cover 50% or 90% of all pe's for 50% or 90% of all events for gammas (diff/pointing) and protons:



→ To readout

- only 50% pe for 50% events: 40ns... 60ns for 10TeV...100TeV
- 50% pe for 90% evts (or 90%/50%) 80ns..110ns for 10TeV...100TeV

Note: (1) averaged over all ULTRA3 telescopes, and telescopes (trigg & non-trig)

(2) for default simtel-trig, spread is somewhat lower (30-90ns 10-100TeV), see CTA-Zeuthen.

R/O-window: do nontrig'd / faint Images dominate ?

 ReadoutWindow to cover 90% of all pe's for 50% of all events for gammas (diff/pointing) and protons, separately for:



→

Even for SimTel-trigg'd Telescopes :

For only 90% pe for 50% events -

30ns... 80ns for 10TeV...100TeV!

Image Losses: a 20ns R/O-Window

Hess2 / Magic2: R/O window of 14ns / 25ns.

Plot:

Maximal Image fraction F_i, that a floating 20ns window will readout (ie. optimized pixelwise).

Shown for StimTel-triggered + non-triggered telescopes w/ 100pe/400pe (for ArrayE).

→ Serious R/O-losses already present for ≥10TeV ! (for LST also at 1TeV: non-trig [™] evts in interm. ImSize?)

F_Im (10TeV) xx% < 0.8 F_Im (100TeV) xx% < 0.8



Summary

- Image timespread is o(50-100ns) for E>>10TeV & large impact & offaxis.
- Investigated the pe-arrival times (electronics-independent) and assumed a fixed 20ns R/O-window. Find significant losses for
 - Readout of triggered telescopes (NN-Trig SimTel-default) !!
 Ie. not for "weak images", as suggested in discussions
 - Images at ≥ 10TeV are cut down to 20%
 - for 100TeV MST, SST readout <fraction> ~ 0.3-0.4, m.p. ~ 0.2, even for large Images.
- We suggest, that MC-optimization of the HE-performance is checked wrt this findings. We should find arguments in favour of expecting "optimal CTA performance" (energy resolution, sensitivity) while
 - Cutting out 16ns out of o(100ns) spread of triggered Image,
 - Not aiming at triggering more telescopes w/ "bright images"
- Is the potential of current CTA array layout optimally used by ignoring significant fraction of light in the array (trigger, R/O, analysis) ?
- Is it worth a MC test w/ full (larger) image R/O and adapted analysis ?

 $[\]rightarrow$ Discussion back to MC-WG.

[→] ELEC: what could be a compromise R/O-window ?

... thanks ...

... Backup ...

Triggering vs. Image Size

The fraction of medium+large size Images (<<1000) that are trigger varies with energy.

Full efficiency at 1TeV 200pe, 10TeV 800pe, 100TeV 1000pe

(data are summed for LST/MST/SST for the "Ultra3Array E", diff. gamma).





(for two amplitude cuts).

Protons 30TeV









Gammas 100TeV (pointing)

ReadoutTime T50 / T90: cover 50% and 90% of Image



ReadoutTime T50 / T90: cover 50% and 90% of Image





For: all Images / >100pe / >200pe / triggered

ReadoutTime T50 / T90: cover 50% and 90% of Image



Gamma 100TeV / pointing

50% (left) 90% (right)

For: all Images / >100pe / >200pe / triggered